ABSTRACT
One consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic is that companies based on conventional (linear) value creation logic and traditional management solutions, even agricultural enterprises, are forced to make such strategic decisions and apply such strategic planning techniques which have not characterised them so far. My basic assumption is that the circumstances have been triggered and accelerated the linear–nonlinear transformation at companies. In this sense, during the value creation of linear organisations, special sets of functions emerge that are nonlinear in their nature. These include the development of adaptation skills, activities focusing on innovation, creativity management, rethinking of HRM, coordinating digital transformation, and all the skills which are needed to successfully respond to an increasingly unpredictable (complex) operating environment.

The aim of the paper is to take a snapshot of the current literature dealing with strategic management responses on the consequences of pandemic and – in the same time – categorize the main suggestions, conclusions of the selected papers and documents in line with the steps of the strategic management process. In addition, I want to point out the core essence of the nonlinear management approach reflecting on the content of the literature. Finally, I analyzed the managerial responses of the Hungarian Blue Chip companies in line with the pandemic. The literature suggests a really wide range of solutions to handle the current situation affecting almost all of the most relevant strategy-making areas. The results show that the linear–nonlinear transformation has started in Hungary as well, but in many cases it is still in its infancy.
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INTRODUCTION
The processes of the surrounding nature show the typical signs of nonlinearity (complexity). These types of systems differ from human-preferred, predictable, controllable linear systems, which are mostly human-made, artificial systems. The nonlinear world – with its own rules and peculiarities – cannot be made linear/predictable to an unlimited extent, even if humanity thinks so (NAGY, 2015).

Moreover, it seems as if these phenomena are appearing more and more often: we sometimes have to face and adjust to events that are very unlikely to occur (MÉRŐ, 2018; TALEB, 2010). Others refer to these nonlinear conditions as a VUCA environment. VUCA is an acronym, first used in 1987 and based on the leadership theories of BENNIS AND NANUS (1985). VUCA means Volatility, Uncertainty, Complexity and Ambiguity (VUCA-WORLD.ORG).

We live and try to create value under such conditions in which unpredictability, nonlinearity, complexity become more and more relevant. Such a creature can survive and continue to exist which is able to adapt intelligently to these turbulent conditions. The same is true for companies, especially in a pandemic period like the current one.
This turbulent/nonlinear environment affects – obviously – the organizations’ strategic and leadership aspects as well, so traditional experiences, paradigms and the linear thinking should be reappraised.

This short description tries to capture the essence of such systems, but it does not give the whole picture. Those systems are considered complex and adaptive (or VUCA environment) which can be described with the following properties (Boisot and McKelvey, 2011; Dinya, 2008; Kaisler and Madey, 2009):
- large number of heterogeneous agents or elements arranged in structures,
- these agents interact locally and are connected with each other directly or even indirectly,
- there are basic/simple rules inducing, enforcing self-organization and system (nonlinear) dynamics (the system changes over time),
- non-linearity: slight changes in the initial conditions of the system status induce: (1) large, (2) small changes or (3) do not cause any change at macro level,
- feedback mechanisms in the system facilitate the adaptation,
- emergent features and patterns on macro level owing to the system dynamics,
- far-from-equilibrium state,
- interdependencies and optimal adaptation at the edge of chaos in response to environmental changes.

Translating the above mentioned characteristics to VUCA environment, the following statements can be made (Bennis and Nanus, 1985; George, 2017; VUCA-World.org):
- Constantly evolving conditions and rapidly changing environment,
- The changes become more and more unpredictable,
- Events unfold in completely unexpected ways,
- It’s becoming more difficult to anticipate events or predict how they will emerge,
- Forecasts and extrapolations based on retrospective analyses and past experiences are losing their relevance and are rarely applicable as a starting point for future predictions,
- These circumstances of course change the former risk assessment and risk management tools,
- Problems and their consequences are more compound and become harder to understand.

In order to manage the nonlinearity, complexity and the VUCA environment sufficiently, the only way for a proper response is if we increase our organization's nonlinearity and agility as well. Mixing the nonlinearity and organizational theory is not a newfangled idea. Such nonlinear companies can be better in responsiveness, organizational resilience, financial sustainability, they are more efficient and prosperous than a traditional/linear/bureaucratic one (Nagy, 2015 quotes: Allen, 1988; Burns and Stalker, 1961; Brown and Eisenhardt, 1997; Morgan, 1997 in Levy, 2000).

The changes in the external environment should be treated not as threats rather new possibilities for higher value creation. It is obvious that the leadership style should be also adjusted for exploitation of the benefits stemming from the complexity (Bennet and Bennet, 2008; Hazy, 2008; Surie and Hazy, 2006).

The inherently nonlinear companies have the following characteristics:
- these companies mainly operating in knowledge-based, information economy especially in technology sector – producing nontraditional products and services;
- they non-traditional inputs intangible resources: e.g. special knowledge, creativity, information, network positions, special skills;
- the operation of the value creation processes cannot be pre-designed, predicted, pre-simulated;
- innovative ideas, information, knowledge are generated or transferred by nonlinear systems;
- new, more efficient management and HR management functions are developed under the new paradigm;
- New generation companies using non-traditional resources usually have greater potential for growing and realize more added value.

There are several concepts and ideas however which try to handle the nonlinearity on an organizational level. Some remarkable example can be mentioned:

- VUCA leadership concept (George, 2017)
- Complexity Leadership Theory (Uhl Bien et al., 2007)
- Organizational agility (McKinsey & Company, 2015)
- Cynefin framework (Kurtz and Snowden, 2003)
- Creativity management described in the Books Collective Genius (Hill et al., 2014) and Creativity, Inc. (Catmull and Wallace, 2014)

Dinya (2008) also drew attention to the underlying (and necessary) paradigm shift. According to him, the difference can be seen in three areas (see the following Tables: 1., 2. and 3.).

**Table 1:** A brief comparison between traditional and nonlinear companies according to the cultural paradigm shift

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultural Paradigm</th>
<th>Traditional companies</th>
<th>Nonlinear companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>minimize risk!</td>
<td>who is not with us – is against us!</td>
<td>we learn from failures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>great profit, faster growth</td>
<td>you’re worth as much as you sell</td>
<td>great profit, more innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the key to success is a professional management</td>
<td>the key to success: an excellent community</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source:* based on Dinya (2008)

**Table 2:** A brief comparison between traditional and nonlinear companies according to the management paradigm shift

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Paradigm</th>
<th>Traditional companies</th>
<th>Nonlinear companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>the world of business: constant competition</td>
<td>the organization: an oiled machine</td>
<td>the world of business: constant adaptation (to build up resilience and the ability to react intelligently)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leadership: managing the workforce</td>
<td>the main managerial task is the control over the resources and human resources as well</td>
<td>the main managerial task is the service, to create an enabling working environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>environmental change is a threat</td>
<td>leadership: employee support</td>
<td>environmental change is a great opportunity to create value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source:* based on Dinya (2008)
Table 3: A brief comparison between traditional and nonlinear companies according to the organizational paradigm shift

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organizational Paradigm</th>
<th>Traditional companies</th>
<th>Nonlinear companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>regulated (linear) input-output relationships</td>
<td>nonlinear behavior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stable, balanced operation</td>
<td>high flexibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>centrally controlled</td>
<td>self-organizing system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emphasis on integrated internal processes</td>
<td>emphasis on intense environmental relationships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>harmony among strategy – tactics – operational goals</td>
<td>balance between chaos and order</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: based on DINYA (2008)

In this context, I was curious about the strategic management implications of the new situation induced by COVID-19.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This article is basically a systematic literature review with additional primary research affecting practical aspects.

A review of the literature can be conducted on the basis of various aspects. This synthesis was made taking into account the phases of the strategic management process and puts the linear-nonlinear transformation in focus. My basic hypothesis is that the current pandemic period – with its unpredictability and nonlinear nature – accelerates and prioritizes nonlinear transformation in relation to traditional companies as well, which means in my interpretation that more and more solutions are used in the short term or during strategy-making.

I have defined three research areas in this article:

1. Literature coverage of the strategy management processes induced by the COVID-19. (snapshot of current professional and academic opinions on the strategic responses in the light of pandemic and nonlinear management).
3. The focus of the management responses of the Hungarian Blue Chip companies for the financial year 2020.

To examine these areas, I collected relevant articles and documents, supplemented with the Annual Reports of the most significant companies of the Budapest Stock Exchange. The shares of the selected 16 companies are components of the BUX basket.

I selected and analyzed a total of 30 articles and documents to get a better insight to the current opinion of the academic researchers and professionals. Literature embraces the most recent and relevant publications written and edited by scientists, experts and...
professional consulting firms. Sources of these papers were the databases of Google, Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science and articles covered by GARCÍA-MADURGA ET AL. (2021).

**Inclusion criteria were:**

- research articles published (or in press) in scientific journals,
- documents published by global organizations and consulting companies,
- published during 2020-2021,
- written in English,
- focus areas: business management or social sciences,
- keywords or topics including at least one of the following expressions: COVID-19, business, strategy, management and leadership, digital transformation, change.

**Exclusion criteria were:**

- public sector organizations,
- conference transcriptions,
- books or book chapters.

In order to analyze the above mentioned three research areas, I chose organizational strategic management as an analytical framework because in this regard we can catch the long-term commitments of the given company and, at the same time, the implementation of the strategy requires short-term thinking as well.

MAROSÁN (2006) uses a sufficiently detailed framework. It has 3 main categories and 14 subcategories. I. Strategic analysis: 1. intentions and interests (vision, mission, values); 2. analysis of the external environment; 3. analysis of the internal situation. II. Decision on strategic actions: 4. elaboration of different versions (general goal settings, scenario planning); 5. comparison of alternatives/versions; 6. strategic decision (strategic objectives). III. Strategy implementation: 7. resource planning (including financial and efficiency aspects, capacity building, value chain planning); 8. shaping organizational structure (formal & informal); 9. building organizational culture; 10. implementation; 11. management and leadership; 12. control mechanism; 13. change management; 14. feedback and learning. Of course, the individual components are not necessarily separate from each other, as they are often built on each other and are organically connected. The application of this framework is also supported by the fact that it is much more detailed than the commonly used strategic analysis tools (see e.g. KORNELIUS ET AL. 2021).

To match and to categorize the literature, suggestions, thoughts and companies’ reactions in line with the proper strategic management component, I use content analysis of the selected documents. To describe the nonlinear management transformation, I used DINYA’S (2008) categorization: cultural, management and organizational paradigms.

**RESULTS**

Results are presented in the order of the research areas.

(1) Literature coverage of the strategy management processes induced by the COVID-19
The following Table (Table 4) contains all the steps of the strategic management process and the related publications. It could help us to answer the first research question. This points out the wide spectrum of the authors’ interests and research focus.

**Table 4:** Steps of the strategic management process and the distribution of the analysed literatures according to their main focus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps of the strategic management process</th>
<th>Related publications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In general: X</td>
<td>Axson (2020), Huy (2020), PWC (2020b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. intentions and interests (vision, mission, values)</td>
<td>Di Vaio et al. (2020), Huy (2020), PWC (2020b), Schaltegger (2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. analysis of the external environment</td>
<td>Huy (2020), PWC (2020b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. analysis of the internal situation</td>
<td>Huy (2020), PWC (2020b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>II. Decision on strategic actions</strong></td>
<td>In general: X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. elaboration of different versions (general goal settings, scenario planning)</td>
<td>Axson (2020), Di Vaio et al. (2020), Huy (2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. implementation (including sustainability aspects)</td>
<td>Di Vaio et al. (2020), Huy (2020), Patuelli et al. (2021), Schaltegger (2020)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Looking at the table above, it appears that the selected references cover almost all the steps with their comments and suggestions.

As we can see the 5th step: comparison of alternatives/versions and the 12th step: control mechanism are missing in this listing. The possible answers for this could be as follows:

5th: in this rapidly changing environment (COVID-19) the comparison of different alternatives could seem to be unnecessary and resource wasting activity, because most of the companies are already satisfied if they can elaborate one proper strategic direction.

12th: the importance of control mechanisms is greatly underestimated in the literature and does not receive the attention it deserves. This situation can also be observed here. However, well-functioning control processes/systems serve the sustainability of systems (including enterprise systems as well) in either a financial or extended sense. In my opinion, the science of management should deal with control systems tailored to nonlinear structures in the near future. Like any other corporate activity, the operation of control can be a factor in competitiveness. Please, keep in mind that the traditional managerial control significantly differs in its nature and logic from the nonlinear control mechanism.

(2) Synthesis of the literature in the light of nonlinear management aspects of the pandemic

The following subsection presents the recommendations and core essences based on literature review regarding COVID-19 and post-COVID business era. The classification is based on paradigms described in the previous chapter (DINYA, 2008). All the suggestions can be used at traditional companies as well (Source: ALL LITERATURES listed in Table 4.).

Cultural Paradigm:

- innovation, innovative thinking, customer and stakeholder orientation,
- HR engagement, motivation, internal employees’ wellbeing, health orientation, trust,
- companies that can spot problems when they look small, learn from them and build preventive measures rapidly possess what we would call a strong organisational immune system,
- clear vision, values, soft elements, optimism,
- social responsibility, join larger level visions, missions,
- recognizing the importance of social capital,
- commitment to environmental sustainability,
- risk and opportunity intelligence.

Management Paradigm:
- fostering digital transformation,
- HR capacity building focusing on digital skills, promote and enhance digital learning, new digital ways of working,
- launch and sustain a crisis command center,
- increasing efficiency of resources, sustainability (financial as well),
- increasing the quality of data management, privacy and cybersecurity capabilities,
- innovation: empowering and enabling environment within organization, support talents,
- new business models,
- disruptive technologies,
- intelligent data management,
- developing team competencies, internal structures/informal networks,
- financial sustainability (mentioned as a traditional management issue!)(create financial realism: increases flexibility rather than reduces it; simplifies planning by sharpening focus; it remains relevant in bad times as well as good.)(AXSON, 2020),
- redesigned risk assessment and management (how to manage uncertainty), rethinking of low-probability events, early warning system for environmental threats (BATRA, 2020 quotes TEECE ET AL., 2016),
- deep qualitative analysis and scenario planning should be complemented by simulations, AI, machine learning tools, mapping algorithms that could quantify different risks, big data, etc. (HUY, 2020),
- rapid response strategy, agile and holistic change management, adaptability is a mandatory business competency,
- resilient leadership, „coopetition” can be an effective business-to-business marketing strategy in a pandemic
- to create and sustain strategic resilience: encourage inspiration, innovation, and imagination.

Organizational Paradigm:
- developing organizational agility,
- reaching operational resilience,
- increasing user experience for our customers,
- holistic view, network thinking,
- taking into consideration the VUCA-environment (cultural, management and organizational paradigm in the same time),
- companies need long, sensitive feelers and hyper-responsive capability at all levels of the organisation to stay in the pink of health (HUY, 2020),
- building networks, innovation networks integrating government politics,
- the multigenerational relationship with the community, suppliers, and employees often saves the companies.

References to nonlinear approaches and management techniques abound in the literature analyzed, highlighting the impact of COVID-19 for the increasing necessity for such methods.

(3) The focus of the management responses of the Hungarian Blue Chip companies for the financial year 2020

The following table (Table 5.) summarizes the orientation of the most important Hungarian publicly listed companies in dealing with the COVID-19 crisis. It can be observed that the concentration pattern around the components (left column) does not match the areas covered in the literature (Table 4.).

**Table 5:** Components of the strategic management process and the distribution of the analyzed companies according to their reactions to COVID-19 (based on Annual Reports for 2020)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps of the strategic management process</th>
<th>Related companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. Strategic analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. intentions and interests (vision, mission, values)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. analysis of the external environment</td>
<td>4iG, ALTEO, Appeninn, AutoWallis, CIG Pannónia, Graphisoft Park, Magyar Telekom, MOL, OPUS Global, OTP Bank, PannErgy, Waberer’s International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. analysis of the internal situation</td>
<td>OPUS Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>II. Decision on strategic actions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. elaboration of different versions (general goal settings, scenario planning)</td>
<td>ANY, MOL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. comparison of alternatives/versions</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. strategic decision (strategic objectives)</td>
<td>Magyar Telekom, Masterplast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>III. Strategy implementation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. resource planning (including financial and efficiency aspects, capacity building, value</td>
<td>4iG, AKKO Invest, ALTEO, ANY, AutoWallis, CIG Pannónia, Magyar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the case of traditional companies (e.g. AKKO Invest, ALTEO, ANY, AutoWallis, Graphisoft Park, PannErgy, Waberer’s), such measures appeared mainly which can be considered as classic crisis management responses (occupational health regulations, use of governmental financial support, rescheduling projects). While in the case of nonlinear actors using special inputs or regarding other players under transformation, the approaches and methods mentioned in the literature have also appeared (e.g. 4iG, CIG Pannonia, OTP Bank).

Some notable examples of the latter:

**CIG Pannónia**

In the field of digitization and IT developments, they have successfully introduced their IT system for remote identification. The first phase of education development ideas aiming the development of agile organizational and project operations has been implemented (CIG PANNÓNIA, 2021).

**MOL**

Through the eSMILE online learning platform, information about COVID-19 and occupational safety was provided to employees working with customers on a daily basis. MOL Group has leveraged its investments in previous years to switch to digital solutions to increase its internal training capacity (MOL, 2021).

**OTP Bank**
The bank provided large-scale donations to health care facilities, supporting several hospitals with medical equipment, including hospitals in disadvantaged areas of the country (social responsibility)(OTP BANK, 2021).

Of course, the success of crisis management and its real factors can be debated, but it is worth looking at the table below (Table 6). The change in the share price reflects the thoughts and opinions of investors regarding the real and expected success of the given company. 14. April 2020 refers to the starting period of the Special Legal Order and the State of Danger in Hungary, while 30. November 2021 is the closing date of this research.

### Table 6. Changes in stock prices between the onset of the pandemic and 30. November 2021 (including % change also).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the company</th>
<th>price of the share (HUF)(14. APR. 2020)</th>
<th>price of the share (HUF)(30. NOV. 2021)</th>
<th>change (%)(14. APR. 2020=100%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4iG</td>
<td>467,5</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>198,93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKKO Invest</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>105,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTEO</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>232,88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANY</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>126,02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeninn</td>
<td>279,5</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>90,88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AutoWallis</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>121,5</td>
<td>159,87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIG Pannónia</td>
<td>143,5</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>274,56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphisoft Park</td>
<td>4550</td>
<td>3850</td>
<td>84,62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magyar Telekom</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>413,5</td>
<td>112,98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masterplast</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>704,70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOL</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>2416</td>
<td>110,32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opus Global</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>83,21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTP Bank</td>
<td>8900</td>
<td>17790</td>
<td>199,89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PannErgy</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>140,59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richter Gedeon</td>
<td>8620</td>
<td>8550</td>
<td>99,19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waberer’s International</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>2390</td>
<td>306,41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Budapest Stock Exchange

The Richter’s stagnation and Masterplast’s soaring in this regard are quite thought-provoking.

**DISCUSSION**

In conclusion, we can agree with TEECE ET AL. (2016), who noted: „*Strategic planning should be rather a learning and flexible process that enables organizations to adapt in constantly changing environments.*” Or in other words, strategic planning should be one key factor of an organization’s performance to enhance its adaptation to both external and internal changes (BATRA, 2020 quotes TEECE ET AL., 2016). This interpretation is a good expression of what has been said so far.

This phase of work was about only the literature review of course further examinations and research are needed to see the real nature of the transformation processes traditional approach → nonlinear paradigm. This circumstance is also the limitation of this article.
The COVID-19 obviously accelerated and catalyzed this transformation and even in the case of traditional companies the new techniques/approaches listed earlier could be vital or essential to sustain their existence. This can also be observed in Hungarian Blue Chip companies, but this process is far from as fast as the literature suggests.
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